

175 Burdette Street Spartanburg, South

Grades PK-12 High School

**Enrollment** 230 Students

PrincipalDr. Joette C. Johnson864-596-8491SuperintendentDr. Thomas D. White, Jr.864-594-4400Board ChairConrad C. Hurst, III864-594-4400

# THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANNUAL SCHOOL REPORT CARD

#### RATINGS OVER 5-YEAR PERIOD Year Absolute Rating Growth Rating 2008 N/A N/A 2007 N/A N/A 2006 N/A N/A 2005 N/A N/A 2004 N/A N/A

### **DEFINITIONS OF DISTRICT RATING TERMS**

- Excellent District performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good District performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average District performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average District is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- At-Risk District performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

#### SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL

By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.

> http://ed.sc.gov http://www.sceoc.org

ABSOLUTE RATINGS OF HIGH SCHOOLS WITH STUDENTS LIKE OURS*									
Excellent	Good	Average	erage Below Average At-Risk						
3	4	4	5	9					

<sup>\*</sup> Ratings are calculated with data available by September 30.

High School Assessment Program(HSAP) Exam Passage Rate: Second Year Student										
	Our	· High Scl	nool	High Schools with Students Like Ours						
Percent	2006	2007	2008	2006	2007	2008				
Passed 2 subtests (%)	0	0	12.5	53.9	0	64.1				
Passed 1 subtest (%)	14.3	0	0	18.4	0	16.1				
Passed no subtests (%)	85.7	100.0	87.5	45.7	0	20.4				

HSAP Passage Rate by Spring 2008									
	Our High School	High Schools with Students Like Ours							
Percent	0%	83.4%							

On-Time Graduation Rate		
	Our High School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Number of Students	20	94
Number of Diplomas	0	62
Rate	0%	59.4%

End of Course Tests										
Percent of tests with scores of 70 or above on:	Our High School	High Schools with Students Like Ours*								
Algebra 1/Math for the Technologies 2	0	59.2								
English 1	0	40.9								
Physical Science	0	26.4								
All Tests	0	45.5								

<sup>\*</sup> High Schools with Poverty Indices of no more than 5% above or below the index for this school.

# School Profile

School Profile	Our School	Change from Last Year	High Schools with Students Like Ours	Median High School
Students (n=230)				
Retention rate	17.7%	N/A	11.0%	6.1%
Attendance rate	90.9%	Down from 91.1%	93.8%	95.0%
Eligible for gifted and talented	0.0%	No Change	3.2%	8.3%
With disabilities other than speech	81.8%	Up from 0.0%	14.6%	13.0%
Older than usual for grade	16.4%	Up from 5.5%	14.8%	8.5%
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses	3.5%	Up from 1.2%	3.2%	1.5%
Enrolled in AP/IB programs	0.0%	No Change	3.0%	11.4%
Successful on AP/IB exams	N/A	N/A	N/A	54.3%
Eligible for LIFE Scholarship	0.0%	No Change	22.2%	30.5%
Annual dropout rate	N/A	N/A	3.5%	3.5%
Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	N/A	N/A	2.2%	3.1%
Enrollment in career/technology courses	N/A	N/A	262	559
Students participating in work-based experiences	N/A	N/A	4.7%	10.6%
Career/technology students attaining technical skills	N/A	N/A	77.2%	79.6%
Career/technology completers placed	N/A	N/A	97.1%	98.5%
Teachers (n=50)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	58.0%	Up from 50.9%	54.3%	57.4%
Continuing contract teachers	72.0%	Down from 73.6%	56.6%	69.6%
Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	4.8%	No Change	19.1%	8.7%
Teachers returning from previous year	79.8%	Up from 78.5%	78.8%	85.0%
Teacher attendance rate	93.1%	N/R	94.9%	95.4%
Average teacher salary	\$47,360	Up 1.8%	\$43,447	\$46,061
Professional development days/teacher	7.2 days	Down from 10.2 days	13.3 days	11.4 days
School				
Principal's years at school	21.0	Up from 20.0	2.0	3.0
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	6.7 to 1	Down from 8.0 to 1	19.4 to 1	25.4 to 1
Prime instructional time	83.0%	N/R	87.0%	89.1%
Dollars spent per pupil*	\$36,732	Down 3.5%	\$9,686	\$7,279
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries*	56.1%	Up from 51.1%	51.4%	55.3%
Percent of expenditures for instruction*	66.2%	Down from 67.0%	60.6%	60.8%
Opportunities in the arts	Good	No Change	Good	Excellent
Parents attending conferences	100.0%	Up from 74.7%	93.4%	94.2%
SACS accreditation	No	No Change	Yes	Yes
Character development program	At-Risk	No Change	Good	Good
	In a constant	la con	la .	
Modern Language Program Assessment	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	Good	Good

<sup>\*</sup> Prior year audited financial data are reported.

# Performance By Student Groups

·		age Rate by g 2008		rse Passage ate	G	nte		
	n	%	t	%	n	%	Met State Objective	
All Students	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	20	N/A	N/A	
Gender								
Male	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	14	N/A	N/A	
Female	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Racial/Ethnic Group								
White	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Africian American	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	12	N/A	N/A	
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Hispanic	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Disability Status								
Disabled	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	18	N/A	N/A	
Migrant Status								
Migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
English Proficiency								
Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Socio-Economic Status								
Subsidized meals	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15	N/A	N/A	

Subsidized meals | N/A | N/A | N/A | \* n=number of students on which percentage is calculated. t=number of tests taken.

# Report of Principal and School Improvement Council

2007-2008 was an exciting school year at McCarthy/Teszler school. We accomplished numerous initiatives and have even more planned for next year. One very important initiative this year was the "Buddy Program." We tried to pair every class at McCarthy/Teszler with a class on a traditional school campus. The two classes met and shared a variety of experiences from visiting the apple farm, to participating in music class together to attending community performances together. This gave both groups a clearer vision of the challenges and the successes that can be had with cooperation and community support.

A challenge for our school is finding innovative ways to encourage family/parent participation, assistance in understanding the disabilities our students have, and continued support for programs implemented at school. We tackled this challenge by providing a variety of opportunities this year for parents: parent conference and workshop night, new phone communication system to keep parents informed of school happenings, information sent home about other agencies that provide help and support to children with disabilities, newsletter about school and individual class projects, updated web site, and participation in a Disabilities Awareness Fair at Westgate Mall. We saw participation and interest developing, and our hope is to have even more participation next vear.

We developed new and revised some previous business community partnerships. We invited clubs or businesses to breakfast or lunch and shared our story. Those that we now have positive working relationships with are Kiwanis. Lions Club. Civitans, and a Women's Garden Club. Most have offered to assist in any way possible that will impact student and school success.

We concentrated on the instructional program and made changes that were needed. We added a new software package to our server that will allow the secondary division to have hands on access to up to date resources for teaching functional skills and assessing students for deficient areas. We updated hardware components where needed and made plans for new technology in several classrooms.

We encourage our students to be service minded and to look for ways they can make a difference in the community. This year we had several service projects: a canned food drive for the Greater Spartanburg Ministries, collected socks for the Children's Shelter, held a MS Walk and made a donation to the Multiple Sclerosis Association, raised money for a snow cone machine for the Miracle League and uniforms for Special Olympians, and had an armed service project. Our goal is for our students to experience the satisfaction of aivina.

McCarthy/Teszler School continues to be a shining star in services to children with disabilities in Spartanburg County. Our students benefit from the support of the seven school districts and the community that acknowledge the need of a special comprehensive school and facility.

Dr. Joette C. Johnson, Principal Heather Muller, SIC Chairperson

Evaluations by Teachers, Students and Parents									
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*						
Number of surveys returned	47	0	22						
Percent satisfied with learning environment	89.4%	N/R	95.2%						
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	93.6%	N/R	95.2%						
Percent satisfied with school-home relations	83.0%	N/R	100.0%						

Only eleventh grade students and their parents were included. For schools without grade eleven, only the highest grade was included

#### No Child Left Behind

# School Adequate Yearly Progress

This school met 8 out of 13 objectives. The objectives included student performance, graduation rate or student attendance, and participation in the state testing program.

Definition: As required by the United States Department of Education, Adequate Yearly Progress specifies that the statewide target is met for "All Students" and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency in the areas of English/Language Arts and Mathematics, as well as meeting the statewide target for "All Students" for attendance or graduation rate.

## School Improvement Status

N/A

NO

School	Improvement Key
NI	Newly Identified-The school missed adequate yearly progress (AYP) for two years. Sanction: Offer school choice.
CSI	Continuing School Improvement-The school missed AYP for three years. Sanctions: Continue school choice and implement supplemental services.
CA	Corrective Action-The school missed AYP for four years. Sanction: Continue school choice and supplemental services. The school district takes a corrective action.
RP	Plan to Restructure-Sanctions: Continue school choice and supplemental services. Develop a plan to restructure. If the school misses AYP the next year, the school implements the restructuring plan.
R	Restructure-The school missed AYP after two years of corrective action. Sanction: Implement the restructuring plan.
DELAY	The school met AYP in all subgroups and the indicator for one year, thus the delay provision applies. The school remains in the same status as last year and is referred to as in "Delay."
HOLD	The school made progress for one year in the subject area that identified the school for school improvement. The school remains in the same status as last year and is referred to as in "Hold."

Teacher Quality Data						
		Our School	State			
Classes in low poverty schools not taught by highly	0.1%	1.8%				
Classes in high poverty schools not taught by high	asses in high poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers					
	Our School	State Objective	Met State Objective			
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers	21.1%	0.0%	No			

McCarthy/Teszler Learning Center 02/16/09-4207904											
HSAP Performance B	HSAP Performance By Group										
	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Below Basic	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	School % Proficient and Advanced*	District % Proficient and Advanced*	State % Proficient and Advanced*	Performance Objective Met	Participation Objective Met
English/Languag	ge Arts	- State	Perforr	nance	Objecti	/e = 52	.3% (Pi	roficien	t and A	dvance	ed)
All Students	25	84	33.3	27.8	22.2	16.7	50	59.1	69.7	No	Yes
Male	18	88.9	38.5	30.8	15.4	15.4	46.2	53	64.6	N/A	N/A
Female	7	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	65.4	74.8	N/A	N/A
White	12	75	37.5	37.5	12.5	12.5	37.5	84.8	81.7	I/S	Yes
Africian American	12	91.7	33.3	22.2	22.2	22.2	55.6	42.6	53.6	I/S	I/S
Asian/Pacific Islander	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	81	83.1	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	N/A	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	59.7	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	65.5	I/S	I/S
Disabled	25	84	33.3	27.8	22.2	16.7	50	17.6	25.2	No	Yes
Migrant	N/A	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	40	N/A	N/A
Limited English Proficient	N/A	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	41.7	47.3	I/S	I/S
Subsized meals	10	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	44.3	55.1	I/S	Yes
Mathematic	s - Stat	e Perfo	ormanc	e Objed	ctive =	50.0% (	(Proficie	ent and	Advan	ced)	
All Students	25	80	41.2	23.5	35.3	0	35.3	59.2	67.2	No	Yes
Male	18	88.9	38.5	30.8	30.8	0	30.8	56.9	66.3	N/A	N/A
Female	7	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	61.6	68	N/A	N/A
White	12	75	50	25	25	0	25	86.7	79.6	I/S	Yes
Africian American	12	83.3	37.5	25	37.5	0	37.5	42.1	49.7	I/S	I/S
Asian/Pacific Islander	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	81	88.9	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	N/A	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	60	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	69.5	I/S	I/S
Disabled	25	80	41.2	23.5	35.3	0	35.3	13.5	23.8	No	Yes
Migrant	N/A	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	46.7	N/A	N/A
Limited English Proficient	N/A	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	50	54.9	I/S	I/S
Subsized meals	10	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	45.7	53.1	I/S	Yes
P	hysical	Science	ce (End	d-of-Co	urse P	erforma	ance by	Group	o)		
All Students	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Male	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Female	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
White	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Africian American	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

| All Students               | N/A |
|----------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Male                       | N/A |
| Female                     | N/A |
| White                      | N/A |
| Africian American          | N/A |
| Asian/Pacific Islander     | N/A |
| Hispanic                   | N/A |
| American Indian/Alaskan    | N/A |
| Disabled                   | N/A |
| Migrant                    | N/A |
| Limited English Proficient | N/A |
| Subsized meals             | N/A |

<sup>\*</sup> Adj - Adjusted to account for natural variation in performance.

Two-Year HSAP Trend Data										
	School Year	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Below Basic	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	School % Proficient and Advanced*	District % Proficient and Advanced*	State % Proficient and Advanced*
English/Language Arts - State Performance Objective = 52.3% (Proficient and Advanced)										
All Students	2007	20	90.0	50.0	25.0	6.3	18.8	37.5	62.0	70.7
	2008	25	84	33.3	27.8	22.2	16.7	50	59.1	69.7
Mathematics - State Performance Objective = 50.0% (Proficient and Advanced)										
All Students	2007	20	90.0	43.8	43.8	12.5	N/A	25.0	59.2	62.2
	2008	25	80	41.2	23.5	35.3	0	35.3	59.2	67.2